



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/803,593	03/09/2001	Matthew S. Blaha	BLAHA 2	8188

47396 7590 01/25/2007  
HITT GAINES, PC  
AGERE SYSTEMS INC.  
PO BOX 832570  
RICHARDSON, TX 75083

EXAMINER
----------

PATHAK, SUDHANSHU C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2611

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	01/25/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/803,593

Applicant(s)

BLAHA, MATTHEW S.

Examiner

Sudhanshu C. Pathak

Art Unit

2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov. 9<sup>th</sup>, 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on March 9<sup>th</sup>, 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-to-20 are pending in the application.

### *Response to Arguments*

2. Applicant's arguments filed in amendment dated Nov. 9<sup>th</sup>, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regards to the specific arguments regarding "Formal Comments and Remarks", that "...what is taught in the background of the application (referred to as AAPA by the examiner)....", as stated in the amendment(s) dated Feb. 17<sup>th</sup>, 2005 & Nov. 14<sup>th</sup>, 2005 that the paragraphs stated in the Background is not an admission of Prior Art. Firstly the dates of the amendments stated are not correct, the dates should be Feb. 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2005 & Nov. 14<sup>th</sup>, 2005. Furthermore, the MPEP provides the following regarding the material in the Background Section of the specification:

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:

(1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."

(2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the **prior art** which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be

titled "**Background Art**". Therefore, the subject matter in the Background Section is considered Prior Art.

In regards to the specific argument regarding the "103 rejections", that "The cited combination of AAPA, Sevic and Sampei does not teach or suggest all the claim limitations of the present invention. More specifically, the cited combination does not teach or suggest adaptively selecting a voltage level to apply across a driver stage of a line driver to provide a power level for sending a signal as a function of line characteristics of a transmission path.", this is incorrect. The AAPA also discloses the power necessary to drive a signal down a transmission medium depending on the line characteristics (Specification, Page 2, Paragraph 3). Sevic further discloses the desired power level is a minimum power level necessary to maintain quality communications (Column 2, lines 4-7), wherein it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that channel conditions (characteristics of transmission path) are a dominant factor in determining the quality of communications. Sevic discloses a power amplifier comprising a plurality of amplifiers configured to amplify the signal to be transmitted (Abstract, lines 1-13 & Fig. 2, elements A1-A4 & Fig. 5A-B). Sevic also discloses the selecting, by the control circuit, the appropriate amplifier stage in response to a desired power value (Abstract, lines 1-10). Sampei discloses an amplifier circuit comprising an amplifying transistor and a switching transistor (Abstract, lines 1-13 & Fig. 1, elements 5-6). Sampei further discloses the switching transistor to be a switching circuit for providing (selectively connecting) from a multiple of different power (supplies i.e.

voltages) to the amplifying transistor in response to a control signal (Abstract, lines 1-13 & Column 1, lines 65-68 & Column 2, lines 1-6, 50-68 & Column 3, lines 1-26, 54-68 & Column 4, lines 1-11 & Fig. 1, elements 5-6, 8-9 & Fig. 3, elements 5-6, 17-21). Furthermore, the Examiner has provided explicit reason and motivation to combine the references. Further details regarding the explicit limitations as described in the rejections below.

In regards to the specific argument that "Neither Sevic nor Sampei provide an explicit motivation to combine. Additionally, neither Sevic nor Sampei provide an implicit motivation to combine since the nature of the problem to be solved, as a whole is different for Sevic as compared to Sampei.", this is incorrect. The Examiner is aware that each specific reference (AAPA, Sevic, Sampei) does not teach all the limitations and therefore, a 103 rejection wherein the Examiner has provided explicit motivation to combine the references as is described in the rejections below.

Furthermore, it is incorrect that the Sevic and the Sampei reference solve a different problem, the subject matter in the references is related. Sevic reference discloses adaptively varying the amplifier(s) so as to achieve the desired transmission power, however this cannot be done without considering the bias voltage since the maximum output power is directly related to the bias voltage and if the RF swing (voltage) exceeds the bias voltage this causes clipping at the rails thus causing harmonic distortion and minimizing the effect of increasing the power in the first place due the transmission line characteristics. Furthermore, having a reduced RF voltage swing than the bias voltage may cause a reduction in the power added

efficiency (PAE) of the driver, thus wasting the bias power. Therefore, as the power requirements vary, and as the Sevic reference varies the driver stage, the bias voltages need also be considered as is described in the Sampei reference.

Therefore, in view of the above discussion the rejections have been maintained.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 7-9, 11-13, 14-16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Sevic et al. (5,872,481) in further view of Sampei et al. (3,961,280).

Regarding to Claims 1, 4, 7-8, 11 & 14, the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) discloses a line driver couplable to a transmission path having line characteristics associated therewith, comprising: a driver stage configured to send a signal along said transmission path (Specification, Page 1, Paragraph 2). The AAPA also discloses the power necessary to drive a signal down a transmission medium depending on the line characteristics (Specification, Page 2, Paragraph 3). However, the AAPA does not disclose a switching network, coupled to the driver configured to adaptively select a power level to send a signal down a transmission medium as a function of line characteristics of the transmission path.

Sevic discloses a power amplifier comprising a plurality of amplifiers configured to amplify the signal to be transmitted (Abstract, lines 1-13 & Fig. 2, elements A1-A4 & Fig. 5A-B). Sevic further discloses a switching network comprising a plurality of switches configured to couple the driver to ground (Fig. 2, element 56 & Fig. 5A-B, element 56, 74-84 & Fig. 11, elements 1120, 1102-1108 & Column 7, lines 55-67 & Column 8, lines 1-67 & Column 9, lines 1-67 & Column 10, lines 1-5). Sevic also discloses the selecting, by the control circuit, the appropriate amplifier stage in response to a desired power value (Abstract, lines 1-10). Sevic further discloses the desired power level is a minimum power level necessary to maintain quality communications (Column 2, lines 4-7). Sevic also discloses determining channel conditions to further determine the desired power level for transmission of the signal through the channel (Column 1, lines 49-67 & Column 2, lines 3-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Sevic teaches a switching network coupled to an amplifier so as to select an appropriate amplifier stage to achieve a desired power level for transmission, and further Sevic also teaches determining channel conditions to determine the desired power level, and this can be implemented in the DSL driver as described in the AAPA so as to adaptively select the power level to send a signal as a function of transmission line characteristics, thus satisfying the limitations of the claim.

However, AAPA in view of Sevic does not disclose the switching network to select a voltage level to apply across the driver stage to provide a power level to the amplifier stage.

Sampei discloses an amplifier circuit comprising an amplifying transistor and a switching transistor (Abstract, lines 1-13 & Fig. 1, elements 5-6). Sampei further discloses the switching transistor to be a switching circuit for providing (selectively connecting) from a multiple of different power (supplies i.e. voltages) to the amplifying transistor in response to a control signal (Abstract, lines 1-13 & Column 1, lines 65-68 & Column 2, lines 1-6, 50-68 & Column 3, lines 1-26, 54-68 & Column 4, lines 1-11 & Fig. 1, elements 5-6, 8-9 & Fig. 3, elements 5-6, 17-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Sampei teaches implementing a switching circuit to selectively connect and disconnect a power supply (from a multiple of different power supplies) to an amplification stage and this can be implemented in the DSL driver as described in the AAPA in view of Sevic so as to provide the amplifier with increased output power (gain), without the distortion due to the saturation of the amplifier (stage).

Regarding to Claims 2 & 9, the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Sevic in further view of Sampei discloses a line driver amplifier comprising a driver stage configured to send a signal along the transmission path, and a switching network coupled to the driver stage, configured to adaptively select a voltage level to apply across the driver stage to provide a power level of the transmitted signal as a function of the line characteristics described above. Sevic also discloses a power amplifier comprising a plurality of amplifiers configured to amplify the signal to be transmitted (Abstract, lines 1-13 & Fig. 2, elements A1-A4 & Fig. 5A-B). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention



that Sevic teaches implementing a power amplifier as a combination of plurality of individual amplifiers and this can be implemented as the driver stage of the line driver amplifier as described in AAPA in view of Sevic in further view of Sampei so as to vary the transmitted power of the driver amplifier and improving the efficiency of the line driver amplifier depending on the transmission path characteristics, thus satisfying the limitation of the claim.

Regarding to Claims 5, 12 & 19, the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Sevic in further view of Sampei discloses a line driver amplifier comprising a driver stage configured to send a signal along the transmission path, and a switching network coupled to the driver stage, configured to adaptively select a voltage level to apply across the driver stage to provide a power level of the transmitted signal as a function of the line characteristics described above. Sevic further discloses a power amplifier comprising a plurality of amplifiers configured to amplify the signal to be transmitted (Abstract, lines 1-13 & Fig. 2, elements A1-A4 & Fig. 5A-B). Sevic further discloses a switching network comprising a plurality of switches configured to couple the driver to ground (Fig. 2, element 56 & Fig. 5A-B, element 56, 74-84 & Column 7, lines 55-67 & Column 8, lines 1-67 & Column 9, lines 1-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the switching network as described in Sevic can be implemented as a driver stage as described in the AAPA in view of Sevic in further view of Sampei so as to vary the power transmitted depending on the desired user, thus satisfying the limitations of the claim.

Regarding to Claims 6, 13 & 20, the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Sevic in further view of Sampei discloses a line driver amplifier comprising a driver stage configured to send a signal along the transmission path, and a switching network coupled to the driver stage, configured to adaptively select a voltage level to apply across the driver stage to provide a power level of the transmitted signal as a function of the line characteristics described above. Sampei further discloses the power levels to transmit the signal depending on the supply voltage levels, and the supply voltage is arbitrarily set depending on the circuit components and applications (Fig. 1, elements 8-9 & Fig. 3, elements 19-21 & Column 2, lines 40-68 & Column 3, lines 1-68 & Column 4, lines 1-24). Sampei further discloses a push-pull amplifier configuration for balancing the positive and negative polarity for the input / output waveforms (Fig. 9, Column 6, lines 25-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Sampei teaches that the maximum transmit power level voltage depends on the supply voltage levels of the amplifier circuit and this driver configuration can be implemented in the line driver as described in the AAPA in view of Sevic so as to set the maximum output power level voltage of the driver. Furthermore, even though Sampei does not specify a power level up to about 21 volts, there is no criticality in configuring the line driver power level up to the 21 volts, and this is only a matter of design choice.

Regarding to Claims 15 & 18, the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) discloses a line driver couplable to a transmission path having line characteristics associated

therewith, comprising: a driver stage configured to send a signal along said transmission path (Specification, Page 1, Paragraph 2). The AAPA also discloses the power necessary to drive a signal down a transmission medium depending on the line characteristics (Specification, Page 2, Paragraph 3). However, the AAPA does not disclose a switching network, coupled to the driver configured to adaptively select a power level to send a signal down a transmission medium as a function of line characteristics of the transmission path.

Sevic discloses a power amplifier comprising a plurality of amplifiers configured to amplify the signal to be transmitted (Abstract, lines 1-13 & Fig. 2, elements A1-A4 & Fig. 5A-B). Sevic further discloses a switching network comprising a plurality of switches configured to couple the driver to ground (Fig. 2, element 56 & Fig. 5A-B, element 56, 74-84 & Fig. 11, elements 1120, 1102-1108 & Column 7, lines 55-67 & Column 8, lines 1-67 & Column 9, lines 1-67 & Column 10, lines 1-5). Sevic also discloses the selecting, by the control circuit, the appropriate amplifier stage in response to a desired power value (Abstract, lines 6-10). Sevic further discloses the desired power level is a minimum power level necessary to maintain quality communications (Column 2, lines 4-7). Sevic also discloses determining channel conditions to further determine the desired power level for transmission of the signal through the channel (Column 1, lines 49-67 & Column 2, lines 3-19). Sevic discloses a transceiver comprising a power amplifier for driving a signal to the transmission path (Fig. 6 & Fig. 7) further comprising a conversion stage that converts signals between an analog and digital domain (Fig. 7, elements 270, 272);

and a filter stage, coupled to said conversion stage, that filters the signals (Fig. 7, elements 264, 266). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Sevic teaches a switching network coupled to an amplifier so as to select an appropriate amplifier stage to achieve a desired power level for transmission, and further Sevic also teaches measuring channel conditions to determine the desired power level. Sevic also teaches implementing the line driver in a transceiver and processing the data to be transmitted so as to be compatible to the driver as described in the AAPA for transmission over the transmission path, and this can be implemented in the DSL driver as described in the AAPA so as to adaptively select the power level to send a signal as a function of transmission line characteristics, thus satisfying the limitations of the claim.

However, AAPA in view of Sevic does not disclose the switching network to select a voltage level to apply across the driver stage to provide a power level to the amplifier stage.

Sampei discloses an amplifier circuit comprising an amplifying transistor and a switching transistor (Abstract, lines 1-13 & Fig. 1, elements 5-6). Sampei further discloses the switching transistor to be a switching circuit for providing (selectively connecting) from a multiple of different power (supplies i.e. voltages) to the amplifying transistor in response to a control signal (Abstract, lines 1-13 & Column 1, lines 65-68 & Column 2, lines 1-6, 50-68 & Column 3, lines 1-26, 54-68 & Column 4, lines 1-11 & Fig. 1, elements 5-6, 8-9 & Fig. 3, elements 5-6, 17-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

that Sampei teaches implementing a switching circuit to selectively connect and disconnect a power supply (from a multiple of different power supplies) to an amplification stage and this can be implemented in the DSL driver as described in the AAPA in view of Sevic so as to provide the amplifier with increased output power (gain), without the distortion due to the saturation of the amplifier (stage).

Regarding to Claim 16, the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Sevic in further view of Sampei discloses a line driver amplifier comprising a driver stage configured to send a signal along the transmission path, and a switching network coupled to the driver stage, configured to adaptively select a voltage level to apply across the driver stage to provide a power level of the transmitted signal as a function of the line characteristics described above. Sevic also discloses a power amplifier comprising a plurality of amplifiers configured to amplify the signal to be transmitted (Abstract, lines 1-13 & Fig. 2, elements A1-A4 & Fig. 5A-B). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Sevic teaches implementing a power amplifier as a combination of plurality of individual amplifiers and this can be implemented as the driver stage of the line driver amplifier as described in AAPA in view of Sevic in further view of Sampei so as to vary the transmitted power of the driver amplifier and improving the efficiency of the line driver amplifier depending on the transmission path characteristics, thus satisfying the limitation of the claim.

Art Unit: 2611

5. Claims 3, 10 & 17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Sevic et al. (5,872,481) in further view of Sampei (3,961,280) in further view of Lee (3,755,693).

Regarding to Claims 3, 10 & 17, the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Sevic in further view of Sampei discloses a line driver amplifier comprising a driver stage, comprising a plurality of amplifiers, configured to send a signal along the transmission path, and a switching network coupled to the driver stage, configured to adaptively select a voltage level to apply across the driver stage to provide a power level of the transmitted signal as a function of the line characteristics described above. However, the references do not disclose the driver stage further comprising a reference circuit configured to provide a reference level.

Lee discloses a voltage reference circuit configured to provide a voltage reference level associated with the corresponding circuitry (Column 1, lines 1-40 & Column 2, lines 1-27 & Fig. 1, elements 2, 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Lee discloses an interface circuitry that can be implemented in the line driver as described in the AAPA in view of Sevic in further view of Sampei so as to avoid incompatibility (saturation) between the voltage swing level of the input signal and the switching (varying) supply voltage of the driver circuitry, thus satisfying the limitation of the claim.

***Conclusion***

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhanshu C. Pathak whose telephone number is (571)-272-3038. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9am-6pm.

- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571)-272-3042
- The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2611

- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sudhanshu C. Pathak

  
CHIEH M. FAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER